Light Induced Degradation (LID) & Light- and Elevated Temperature-Induced Degradation (LETID) challenges for PERC modules

What are LID & LETID and what do they mean for your financials?

Insights from



Tara Doyle



Dr. Alison Ciesla





Marcello Passaro





kiwa



Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Technical Dive In

Financial Impact

Case Study

Mitigation Measures

Slide 3

Slide 5 - 11

Slide 12

Slide 13

Slide 14

Executive Summary

Risk Profile



Frequency & Impact: Without effective treatment PERCmodules can experience LID rates up to 3% in the firstmonths and up to 6% LETID in the first few years.



Root cause: Degradation rates depend on temperature, irradiance and manufacturer process.



Concern: LID and LETID are key concerns for solar assets that are often overlooked, impacting yield and in turn revenue over time.

Mitigation Measures

See slide 14 for more



IEC61215/61730 Certification

kiwa



Product Qualification Program



Batch Testing

Every 1% degradation equates to 10% of revenue loss

Minimizing degradation due to LETID & LID up to 1%

Kiwa your partner in progress in safeguarding your PV revenues

Every 1% of LID and/or LETID degradation reduces revenues by up to 10% – but you can prevent it.

Email us at solar@kiwa.com learn more about the data shared here.



LID & LETID in a nutshell



Time (years)

LID

LID caused by boron-oxygen defects occurs within weeks and recovers within months.

LETID

LETID exact defect unknown but likely hydrogen related occurs over many years and then recovers over decades.

What are LID and LETID?

For untreated cells, Light Induced Degradation (LID) usually occurs when PV modules are first exposed to light and reduces performance up to 3%. Light and Elevated Temperature Induced Degradation (LETID) occurs over a longer period and can reduce performance by up to 3% and in worst cases up to 6%.



Typical timeline of LID/LETID



Typically LID & LETID have a period of degradation and then regeneration Degradation rates can vary greatly depending on temperature, irradiance, manufacturing process and cell architecture.

Which technology suffers the most LID & LETID?



ki

Mostly effects Si mono and multi crystalline PERC modules.

Though cells with a PERC architecture are impacted, other architectures (n type, TOPCON, IBC, HJT) also show some varying degree of impact by LID. This paper focuses solely on PERC LID & LETID.

What are the main known root causes for PERC LID & LETID?



Boron Oxygen (BO) Complex formation



kiwa

2 Hydrog

Hydrogen Mobilisation

Hydrogen trapped during cell processing interacts with complexes creating weak bonds, which under illumination & temperature "break" leading to degradation



Passivation Degradation

Passivation layers degrade under UV exposure outdoors, further accelerated by higher temperatures which leads to degradation

Role of complexes in relative power loss (LID)



kiwa

Simplified example of the role of complexes in reducing the relative power of a module in LID

The cyclic effect of all degradation mechanisms leading to LID/LETID



kiv

Thermal processes without illumination can reactivate boron, oxygen and hydrogen creating new cycles of degradation, particularly when exposed to temperature and illumination.





https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326367944_Hydrogen-induced_degradation

kiwa

Illumination & temperature (climate) dependance of LID & LETID



LID and LETID rates depend on climate as well as cell technology/architecture.



Lab Testing

Field & Simulated Data



https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/78629.pdf

kiwa

LID/LETID may result in extensive losses

No mitigation measures means lower CAPEX costs, but higher than anticipated OPEX costs & lower yields 10% loss in revenue per 1% of LID and/or LETID degradation

Up to 1.2 EUR/MWh(AC) reduction in LCOE possible if implementing mitigation measures at the CAPEX costof 1.2 EUR; ultimately reducing yearly losses of up to 3 EUR/kWp/year.

Case Study

In PVEL's 2021 PV Module Reliability Scorecard the majority of modules tested within an 18 month period were Top Performers with a combined power degradation of less than 2%.

This is encouraging, although testing participants are a self-selecting group and it's unknown if this represents a trend for all modules.



kin

https://modulescorecard.pvel.com/lidletid/



Mitigate these risks by:

Mitigation Strategies ba	ased on 0,1 to	100MWDC Project	Size & Testing Budget
--------------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------------

| IEC61215 &
IEC61730
Certificates |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PVEL Product
Qualification
Program Insights |
	Contract Optimization	Contract Optimization	Contract Optimization	Contract Optimization	Contract Optimization	Contract Optimization
		Technology & Design Review				
			Batch Testing	Batch Testing	Batch Testing	Batch Testing
				Factory Audit	Factory Audit	Factory Audit
					Production Monitoring	Production Monitoring
Site Testing						

Each additional phase reduces the project risk from medium - high to low

kiwa



Added value of mitigating risks



Typical loss for a 0,10 EUR/kWh project without mitigation strategies equates to a total loss of 5.4 EUR/kWp/year. Implementing previously stated risk strategies reduces those total losses to 2.2 EUR/kWp/year.





http://www.solarbankability.org/results.html#c129



Find out how Kiwa can be your partner in progress for safeguarding long term solar investments

